

**CITY COUNCIL
TEMPLE CITY, CALIFORNIA**

**REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
NOVEMBER 17, 2009**

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Vizcarra called the City Council Regular Meeting to order at 7:34 p.m. in the Council Chambers located at 5938 Kauffman Avenue, Temple City.

2. ROLL CALL

PRESENT: Councilmember-Chavez, Sternquist, Wong, Yu, Vizcarra
ABSENT: Councilmember-None

ALSO PRESENT: City Manager Pulido, Parks and Recreation Director Burroughs, Interim City Attorney Vail, City Clerk Flandrick, Community Development Manager Lambert, Financial Services Manager Molina, Public Services Manager Tidwell, Public Safety Officer Ariizumi

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Carl Blum, Temple City resident and former Planning Commissioner, led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

4. CEREMONIAL MATTERS – PRESENTATIONS

A. CERTIFICATE OF RECOGNITION TO CAPTAIN RICHARD SHAW

Mayor Vizcarra presented a proclamation to Captain Richard Shaw upon the occasion of his retirement and in appreciation for his many years of service to the residents of Temple City.

B. PROCLAMATION – “BRAG ABOUT YOUR BAG” CAMPAIGN

Mayor Vizcarra presented a proclamation to Natalia Jimenez, Environmental Affairs, County of Los Angeles, proclaiming November 15 – December 17, 2009, as the “Brag About Your Bag” Campaign, which encourages environmental stewardship within the County.

C. CERTIFICATES OF RECOGNITION TO WINNERS OF THE TEMPLE CITY LIBRARY’S ANNUAL BOOKMARK CONTEST

With the assistance of Joanna Ward, Children’s Librarian, Mayor Vizcarra and the City Council presented Certificates of Recognition to the following Annual Bookmark Contest winners:

Kindergarten to 2nd Grade: 1st Place – Kali Tam, Hugo Reid, 2nd Place – Kyrene Tam, Hugo Reid, 3rd Place – Timothy Guo, Hugo Reid

3rd to 5th Grade: 1st Place – Kristen Chen, Cloverly Elementary, 2nd Place – Michelle Liang, Camino Grove, 3rd Place – Holly Hao, Cloverly Elementary

6th to 8th Grade: 1st Place – Rebecca Chen, Oak Avenue, 2nd Place – Annie Chien, Oak Avenue, 3rd Place – Rachel Meach, Cloverly Elementary

9th to 12th Grade: 1st Place – Samantha Lee, Temple City High School

5. PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA

Ralph Almeida, Temple City businessman, asked Council to approve removal of a no U-turn sign on Las Tunas Drive close to his place of business. For many years there was no sign at that location and he was able to easily make a U-turn to get to his office. After the sign was installed some years ago, he now has to go all the way to Rosemead Boulevard in order to make a U-turn to head back to his office. He submitted a request for removal of the sign, as there is no hazard or lack of visibility and 3 lanes are adequate for turning ratio. He asked that an investigation be initiated.

Mayor Vizcarra indicated this matter would be referred to the City Manager for report back to Council.

Carl Blum, Temple City resident, suggested a couple of additions to the City's website. He suggested posting photos of the Planning Commission and possibly City Manager, Attorney and department heads. Presently there are photos of the City Council and Parks and Recreation Commission. Secondly, he asked for a summary of the Council's key meeting actions the day after the meeting by 10:00 a.m. to keep residents informed.

6. CONSENT CALENDAR

A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Approved the Minutes of the City Council Regular Meeting of November 3, 2009, as presented.

B. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 09-4643 DESIGNATING CITY HOLIDAYS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010-2011

Adopted Resolution No. 09-4643, a RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY, CALIFORNIA, ESTABLISHING HOLIDAYS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010-2011.

C. APPROVAL OF "10 CLUB SERVICE" WARRANTY PROGRAM FOR THE ATHLETIC FIELD LIGHTS AT LIVE OAK PARK

Approved an extension of the "10 Club Service" warranty for the athletic field lights at Live Oak Park and approved a budget amendment in the amount of \$22,950 for the 10 year warranty.

D. APPROVAL OF ASSURANCES AND UNDERSTANDING DOCUMENT FOR MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS

Approved the Assurances and Understandings document for Measure R Local Return Funds to begin receiving monthly revenues based on sales revenues and population share and amended the adopted budget accordingly.

E. APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 09-4642 FOR THE ADMINISTERING AGENCY-STATE AGREEMENT FOR FEDERAL-AID PROJECT PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT NO. 004 (UPGRADE TRAFFIC SIGNALS ON ROSEMEAD BOULEVARD AT LONGDEN AVENUE AND BROADWAY)

Adopted Resolution No. 09-4642, a RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY, OR THE INTERIM CITY MANAGER, TO SIGN THE PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT AGREEMENT NO. 004 TO THE ADMINISTERING AGENCY – FEDERAL MASTER AGREEMENT NO. 07-5365R.

F. PETTY CASH AND VISA CARD REPORT

Received and filed the Petty Cash and Visa Card Reports with the current charges.

G. ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NO. 09-4644 – APPROVAL OF PAYMENT OF BILLS

Adopted Resolution No. 09-4644, a RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TEMPLE CITY ALLOWING CLAIMS AND DEMANDS IN THE SUM OF \$239,151.19 DEMAND NOS. 28151 THROUGH 28240.

7. **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

A. CONSIDERATION OF ESTABLISHMENT OF A YOUTH COMMITTEE

Parks and Recreation Director Burroughs stated this item was brought up several months ago by Councilmember Chavez and also Councilmember Sternquist, to consider establishment of a Youth Committee. Staff has since been looking into different options and information from other cities in regard to Youth Committees. Based on the information obtained, staff is recommending the establishment of a Youth Committee, not a commission. The Youth Committee would act in an advisory capacity to the City Council in all matters of concern to the youth of the City and would work under the supervision of the Parks and Recreation Commission. A Committee would be less formal than a Commission and would not have to abide by the same regulations. Members of the committee could address a variety of issues that affect their daily lives in the areas of recreational facilities and programs, public safety programs, regional concerns, local legislation and other issues and would serve as spokespersons for students at their respective schools.

Staff is proposing establishment of a 13 member Youth Committee comprised of Temple City residents, between the ages of 12 and 18 years. The members of the Committee would include one student from each of the high schools, or K-8th grade elementary schools, plus at large members. The Learning Center students could also be added. The remaining 4 or 5 at large members could be from any public or private school as long as they live within the City limits. Members would be selected through an application process and would serve a one-year term, for a maximum of four years. For the inaugural Youth Committee, staff recommended that members be selected by staff, based on prior involvement in City programs and activities, and confirmed by the City Council. Members would serve from January until the end of the school year in June.

Staff further recommended that one member of the City Council and one member of the Parks and Recreation Commission be appointed to serve on the Youth Committee. The Council and Commission representatives would be responsible for reporting items of interest to and from the City Council/Commission and the Committee. Staff liaisons are proposed to be Parks and Recreation Coordinator Frances Manzo-Pimentel and Senior Recreation Leader Juan Pasillas.

Councilmember Wong volunteered to be a liaison.

Councilmember Chavez stated he felt all agreed that in the last few months a lot of good individuals came before us and it is time to get them involved. He thought a Youth Committee would be a success. In addition to a member from Council and the Parks and Recreation Commission, he felt it would give more meaning to also have a member from the Planning Commission.

Mayor Pro Tem Yu agreed a Planning Commissioner should be on the selection panel as well, as this Committee may be dealing with regional issues and the Planning Commission is a key commission, especially in the next few years in looking at redevelopment in the city.

Councilmember Chavez stated it would be preferred to have the chairman of the committee make recommendations themselves, the main effect of which would get them more involved in coming to meetings and participating.

Council concurred in having a Planning Commissioner involved in the selection process along with a Councilmember and Parks and Recreation Commissioner.

Councilmember Sternquist expressed concern with the thirteen members of the inaugural group selected by staff, as this issue is important and it took a long time to get to this place. She also felt it was important to open it up to anyone who is interested citywide from the schools mentioned. It is important to do this right from the beginning and open it up to all interested youth.

Parks and Recreation Director Burroughs said one reason a formal process was not recommended was to get the Youth Committee up and running by January.

Mayor Pro Tem Yu agreed it should be done right even if it takes longer to assure the effectiveness of the committee. As long as those involved are Temple City residents.

Councilmember Wong concurred to open it up to all, as long as they are Temple City residents.

Parks and Recreation Director Burroughs stated her department would try to have the deadline for applications by the Christmas holidays.

Council concurred that Councilmember Wong would be the Council liaison with that position to be on a rotating basis.

Peggy Miller, Temple City resident and Parks and Recreation Commissioner, said she heard mention of the Learning Center and suggested keeping those students involved.

Mary Burke, Temple City resident, said she was confused about the mention of all the school districts and asked if other cities would be involved.

Parks and Recreation Director Burroughs stated that Temple City residents attend school in eight different districts. Youth Committee members would have to be Temple City residents.

Councilmember Wong moved to:

- 1) approve establishment of a Youth Committee and authorize staff to proceed with the application process for selection of the committee members by the Council/Commission liaison members to serve for the period of January - June 2010; and
- 2) appoint one Councilmember to serve as liaison to the Youth Committee, seconded by Councilmember Chavez and unanimously carried.

8. NEW BUSINESS

- A. PUBLIC HEARING: RESOLUTION NO. 09-4645 – APPEAL OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION TO APPROVE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 09-1747 TO ALLOW THE INSTALLATION OF A WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY THAT WOULD BE CAMOUFLAGED AS A "MONOPINE" (PINE TREE) AT 5521 EL MONTE AVENUE. THE SUBJECT SITE IS ZONED SINGLE FAMILY (R-1) RESIDENTIAL AND IS DESIGNATED AS INSTITUTIONAL ON THE CITY'S GENERAL PLAN LAND USE MAP

Councilmember Chavez recused himself due to a conflict of interest as he lives within 500 feet of the subject location.

Community Development Manager Lambert stated, on October 13, 2009, the Planning Commission approved a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) request to construct a "monopine" wireless telecommunication facility at 5521 El Monte Avenue. The decision of the Commission was appealed by a nearby resident. At this time, the City Council will conduct a public hearing and consider the Conditional Use Permit appeal. When considering a matter on appeal, the City Council reviews the record and determines whether the record contains evidence to support the Commission's decision and whether that decision should be affirmed or overturned.

The subject site is a church located at 5221 El Monte Avenue at the southwest corner of Freer and El Monte Avenue, addressed as 5221 El Monte Avenue and 10686 Freer Street. The entire property is within the Single Family Residential (R-1) Zone; 5221 El Monte Avenue is designated as Institutional and 10686 Freer Street is designated as Low Density Residential on the City's General Plan Land Use Map.

The request is for a CUP to allow the installation of a cellular antenna, with an overall height of 54 feet that would be camouflaged as a "monopine" (pine tree). The "monopine" would have a total of 12 cellular antennas and a 24 inch diameter parabolic antenna. The lease area would be separated from the parking area by protective bollards. The proposal would also include the installation of six equipment cabinets enclosed by a wrought iron fence with screening material to help shield the view from the City's public right-of-way.

The Planning Commission approved this request with several conditions as contained in the Planning Commission resolution. Some of the residents at the public hearing expressed concerns regarding aesthetics and potential radiation hazards, but most of those concerns were addressed by Staff, the Interim City Attorney and the applicant. Additional issues raised included property values, whether the "monopine" could be sited elsewhere and general public safety. In an appeal, the onus is on the appellant to provide evidence that the Commission made an incorrect or substantively or procedurally flawed decision.

In granting the CUP, the Planning Commission found that the proposed use is:

- a) on a site that is adequate in size, shape and topography for the use;
- b) on a site with access to streets sufficient to carry traffic generated by the use, and;
- c) located such that no adverse effect on the use, enjoyment, or valuation of neighboring properties will occur.

With respect to adverse effects, the appellant noted public safety and location. From a public safety perspective, it is vital to note that per Federal law, if an applicant shows that its facility complies with Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulations concerning radio frequency emissions, the City cannot regulate the placement, construction, or modification of the facility on emissions-related grounds. This applicant did provide substantial evidence to staff that the facility would comply with Federal regulations and so emissions were not considered by the Planning Commission. This is consistent with the City's duties under Federal law.

With respect to "location", the appellant was not totally clear about which aspects of the "monopine" location were being appealed. Issues raised at the Planning Commission hearing included aesthetics, property values, and whether the "monopine" could be

located elsewhere on the proposed site. There was no evidence offered at the Planning Commission hearing to show that there would be any effect on property values arising out of the CUP approval. The City must have actual evidence of those economic concerns and may not engage in mere speculation. In the absence of any evidence about property values, the Planning Commission could not find that any adverse effect on property values would occur.

The Planning Commission took testimony from the applicant and the public and based on the evidence presented, concluded that the placement of the "monopine" would not result in aesthetic impacts that interfered with the use or enjoyment of neighboring properties. Of course the "monopine" would change the skyline in the area, as would any permitted or conditionally-permitted structure of more than 20 feet. The Commission found that this project did not result in a significant adverse effect upon aesthetics.

Community Development Manager Lambert presented views of the project site, site plan location and elevation of the "monopine".

Mayor Vizcarra left the meeting at 8:26 p.m.

Community Development Manager Lambert concluded his visual presentation with an existing view and photo simulation and also an aerial view of the site. He responded to questions of Council, stating there are no tall trees on the site itself and the applicant did look at alternate sites.

Mayor Pro Tem Yu asked Interim City Attorney Vail to comment on recent court cases to disallow cell sites.

Interim City Attorney Vail stated the Palos Verdes case did uphold the City's denial of a cell tower based on aesthetic reasons.

Mayor Vizcarra returned to the meeting at 8:34 p.m.

Interim City Attorney Vail continued, stating the other half of the case was remanded back to the trial court. A city does have the right to deny based on aesthetic reasons, but if the cell provider comes back with an analysis showing there is not enough coverage of services, or that its ability to provide services would be impaired, then the city would have no grounds for denial.

Mayor Vizcarra opened the public hearing.

Maryann Harwood, representing applicant T-Mobile, stated the cell tower would add to the public safety of people inside their homes. T-Mobile worked with City staff regarding the design and the reason the "monopine" was selected is because there were other broadleaf pine trees in the area. Also the "monopine" is less intrusive yet covers the area that needs to be covered. T-Mobile performed a site analysis and was not able to come up with another site to cover this area. Regarding property values, a lot of people do use cell phones as they are getting rid of land lines and using cell phones instead.

In response to Council, Ms. Harwood stated: the use of different vendors could be part of the conditions; a parabolic antenna is needed for coverage; and pictures of various types of antennas could be provided.

Peter Serdarusich, appellant, objected to the installation of the cell tower for these major reasons: it violates basic zoning rules, is hazardous to the public safety of residents in the immediate area, and the aesthetic value of the tower is that it is unattractive. Regarding the zoning, the zone is R-1 and residents in the area wish it to remain R-1, and the full

effect of a third party sublease running a multi-million dollar operation in an R-1 zone does violate the intent of the R-1 plan. If a firm wishes to operate a business, it should do so within the commercial or industrial zone. On the last page of the EIR documents it states there is no question that this property is designated as a low density residential area on the City's General Plan. If approved, it would grandfather in this tower for years to come. Regarding public safety, at this time, there is no evidence that this facility would comply with federal regulations; that can only be determined once the tower is in place, so he was limited as to what proof he could offer regarding emissions. He recommended that, if allowed, that the emissions output be monitored with severe penalties if guidelines are violated. Also a hold harmless clause should be placed on T-Mobile ensuring that the City and Council are exempt from any liability claims as a result of the erection of this tower. Many people consider a cell tower generally unattractive, even when camouflaged. It is not appropriate to the atmosphere and the surrounding buildings in the area. He showed pictures of other cell tower sites, some with camouflaging which were more acceptable.

Mr. Serdarusich provided locations for the pictures and answered questions of Council, stating he had not done research on emissions of cell towers after being erected. He stated he had a list of 65 residents out of 128 homes in the area who objected to this tower. He stated he firmly wished another location would be chosen, but if Council approves it, he would want something better than the "monopine". He stated he challenged the resolution of approval on the grounds it is incomplete and without merit, in that the resolution contains no description of the cell tower itself, only the equipment. Also, the report states there is no impact on the land use map, even though the CUP tries to directly change the R-1 zone into a commercial zone. The 54 foot "monopine" is ugly and unattractive and inappropriate for this area. The report should find that the proposed project may have a significant effect on the environment and an EIR is required. He challenged the validity of the Negative Declaration as having no basis since the environmental checklist form was completed in error. He urged Council to reject the adoption of the resolution.

Mayor Vizcarra asked T-Mobile representatives if they had any latitude in adjusting the appearance or other options of the tower.

Ms. Harwood responded that a bell tower could work but would add quite a bit of height and may be more intrusive.

Mayor Vizcarra asked for a couple of proposals to consider.

Jane Norine, representing T-Mobile, stated there are different aesthetic designs that may be more pleasing, but the main reason that trees are chosen is to blend into the environment. If a cross antenna were to be built at this site, it would have stacked antennas and additional height. She would not recommend adding more to the church building and a separate structure would be less intrusive. She noted for the record that T-Mobile is a public utility regulated by the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) and is only asking to provide the type of services they are federally mandated to provide. Providing in house coverage to the neighborhood itself is what they are mandated to do and what the City is required to provide. They are asking to provide public services to their customers and the neighborhood.

Mayor Vizcarra stated that Council, in representing the community wants to provide maximum services to everyone, but also look for a happy medium.

In response to Mayor Pro Tem Yu, Ms. Norine stated T-Mobile is willing to design a site that is aesthetically pleasing, including the use of other structures and is very willing to work with the community.

City Manager Pulido recommended continuing the public hearing to the December 15th meeting and in the meantime staff will work with the applicant to come up with alternative designs for discussion at that meeting.

Mayor Vizcarra suggested those remaining to speak might prefer to come back and present their comments at the December 15th meeting when additional information would be presented.

David Castro, Temple City resident, said he used to live behind the church and was able to get perfect T-Mobile reception then, so he didn't understand why T-Mobile said they need coverage in this area.

Sandy Wee, Temple City resident, questioned how many more cell towers were needed. If you took an aerial photo of all existing towers, there are so many more than we need, it becomes a bit overwhelming.

Councilmember Wong moved to continue this public hearing to the City Council meeting of December 15, 2009, seconded by Councilmember Sternquist and carried by the following roll call vote:

AYES:	Councilmember-Sternquist, Wong, Yu, Vizcarra
NOES:	Councilmember-None
ABSENT:	Councilmember-Chavez
ABSTAIN:	Councilmember-None

Councilmember Chavez returned to the meeting.

9. COMMUNICATIONS – None

10. RECESS TO COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY MEETING

At 9:23 p.m., the City Council recessed to the Community Redevelopment Agency Meeting. Minutes of the Agency Meeting are set forth in full in the Agency's records.

RECESS TO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND HOUSING AUTHORITY MEETING

At 9:24 p.m., the City Council recessed to the Community Development and Housing Authority Meeting. Minutes of the Authority Meeting are set forth in full in the Authority's records.

RECONVENE AS CITY COUNCIL

The City Council meeting was reconvened at 9:47 p.m. with all Councilmembers present.

11. ACTION ON REQUEST BY CRA – None

12. UPDATE FROM CITY MANAGER – None

13. MATTERS FROM CITY OFFICIALS – None

14. COUNCIL REPORTS REGARDING AD HOC OR COMMISSION MEETINGS

Councilmember Chavez reported that he and Mayor Pro Tem Yu and staff met with representatives of Athens Services who provided follow-up information from their meeting of several weeks ago. They spoke further of rates regarding an automated system and the contract itself and looking into restructuring the contract. Staff was directed to work with Athens in developing a survey to determine the needs of our citizens regarding trash issues. No decisions were made and they will continue to look into possible options.

15. COUNCIL ITEMS SEPARATE FROM THE CITY MANAGER'S REGULAR AGENDA

A. COUNCILMEMBER CHAVEZ

Councilmember Chavez commended Public Services Manager Tidwell, Public Safety Officer Ariizumi, Parks and Recreation Director Burroughs and staff and Sheriff's department staff to their good work last Friday at the second POD. He attended and everything appeared to run smoothly which had a lot to do with staff.

B. COUNCILMEMBER STERNQUIST

Councilmember Sternquist suggested, based on a conversation with the Superintendent, that at the next City/School District ad hoc committee meeting, the issue of working in partnership with the School District be brought up in connection with the school's need of a new floor at the Oak Avenue gymnasium and the District possibly making their back parking lot available for public parking.

Councilmember Chavez agreed that was a good suggestion and he and Mayor Vizcarra, as ad hoc committee members, have discussed several partnership ideas with the District. They did discuss the gym at the last meeting and he would bring this issue up at the next meeting.

Mayor Vizcarra suggested it be placed on the next ad hoc agenda.

C. COUNCILMEMBER WONG

Councilmember Wong concurred with Councilmember Chavez about the H1N1 POD. The Staff involved and did a wonderful job and good teamwork made it possible.

Councilmember Wong reminded everyone again that the San Gabriel Valley Habitat for Humanity have a house being built in Glendale with handicapped members and are in need of donations for an elevator.

D. MAYOR PRO TEM YU

Mayor Pro Tem Yu thanked Sgt. Osterman and his staff for their quick action in addressing some neighborhood concerns. He was invited to a block party in the neighborhood that had some police action in the past. Sgt. Osterman sent Deputy Greg Adams and both had a great time and the residents were very happy that they showed up to address their concerns.

E. MAYOR VIZCARRA

Mayor Vizcarra mentioned the census committee that was reported on in the Manager's Report and asked if the City would be setting up its own census committee.

Community Development Manager Lambert stated the Census Bureau was encouraging the City to set up its own "Complete Count Committee".

Mayor Vizcarra said he felt this was important and he would like to do something.

Councilmember Wong said she would also help with translations.

Council concurred to establish a census ad hoc committee of Mayor Vizcarra and Councilmember Wong with Community Development Lambert as lead on staff.

Mayor Vizcarra stated, in an effort to bring the connection of Temple City history with the 50th anniversary, the curator at the Homestead Museum volunteered to give a private tour with refreshments and so put out an invitation to Council and Commissioners and any staff who would like to participate. Staff can set up a tour for anytime Monday through Saturday.

16. REIMBURSEMENTS OF EXPENSES PAID TO OFFICIALS FOR CONDUCT OF CITY BUSINESS

- A. COUNCILMEMBER CHAVEZ - None
- B. COUNCILMEMBER STERNQUIST – None
- C. COUNCILMEMBER WONG - \$141.29 (reimburse fuel charges – California Joint Powers Insurance Authority Risk Management Conference)
- D. MAYOR PRO TEM YU – None
- E. MAYOR VIZCARRA – None

17. ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA

Mary Burke, Temple City resident, spoke of concerns in her neighborhood regarding the number of new units being built.

Mary Kokayko, Temple City resident, said she went to City Hall to purchase a memorable brick and was told she had to wait until 50 bricks were purchased before an order could be placed. She suggested the City have a drive to purchase bricks as part of the 50th anniversary celebration. Secondly, she asked Council to consider Parks and Recreation Director Burroughs as Assistant City Manager, a position she once held. She also felt her office should be at City Hall, not down at the new building at the park. Thirdly, she recommended Council choose Interim City Attorney Vail as their City Attorney as he already is aware and knows what is going on in the City and explains things in a way that the residents can understand.

18. JOINT CLOSED SESSION – CITY COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

At 10:12 p.m., the Community Redevelopment Agency reconvened and recessed with the City Council to a joint closed session as agendized on the Supplemental Agenda for this meeting, the purpose of which is described below.

Purpose: Existing Litigation
Cases: One (1) – The Interim City Attorney/Agency Counsel has recommended the City and Agency hold a closed session regarding existing litigation (*TCD v. City of Temple City*)
Authority: Government Code Section 54956.9(a)
Representatives: City Manager/Executive Director, Interim City Attorney/ Agency Counsel, City/Agency Special Counsel

Councilmember Wong recused herself from the closed session.

RECONVENE

The City Council meeting was reconvened at 10:40 p.m. with all Councilmembers present.

CLOSED SESSION STATEMENT

Interim City Attorney Vail reported that the City Council and Community Redevelopment Agency entered into closed session, received a report from and gave direction to the Interim City Attorney. There was no reportable action.

19. ADJOURNMENT

The City Council Regular Meeting was adjourned at 10:41 p.m.

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk